1	Plaintiff Oplus Technologies, Ltd. ("Oplus") brought the present action against
2	Defendant VIZIO, Inc. ("VIZIO") alleging infringement of U.S. Patent Nos.
3	6,239,842 ("the '842 Patent") and 7,271,840 ("the '840 Patent").
4	Defendant VIZIO's Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent
5	Nos. 6,239,842 and 7,271,840 and Motion for Summary Judgment of
6	Noninfringement of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,239,842 and 7,271,840 came on for hearing
7	before this Court on September 9, 2013. After considering the moving and opposing
8	papers and all supporting evidence, arguments of counsel, and all other matters
9	presented to the Court, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED
10	THAT:
11	1. Judgment is entered in favor of Defendant VIZIO and against Plaintiff
12	Oplus, with prejudice, on each of the following grounds:
13	a. The '842 Patent is invalid as anticipated by the prior art under 35
14	U.S.C. § 102(b).
15	b. The '842 Patent is not infringed by VIZIO directly or indirectly
16	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b) or (c).
17	c. The '840 Patent is not infringed by VIZIO directly or indirectly
18	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), (b) or (c).
19	2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(1) and Local Rules
20	54-2 and 54-3, Defendant VIZIO is entitled to recover its costs incurred in this action.
21	3. Any request by Defendant VIZIO for an award of attorneys' fees and
22	related nontaxable expenses under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(d)(2) shall be
23	made pursuant to Local Rule 54-10. Mariana R. Pfaelge
24	DATED: October 17, 2013
25	Hon. Mariana R. Pfaelzer
26	United States District Judge

27

28